## RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



# Combination of curcumin and piperine synergistically improves pain-like behaviors in mouse models of pain with no potential CNS side effects

Pawana Boonrueng<sup>1</sup>, Peththa Wadu Dasuni Wasana<sup>2,3</sup>, Hasriadi<sup>2</sup>, Opa Vajragupta<sup>4</sup>, Pornchai Rojsitthisak<sup>5,6</sup> and Pasarapa Towiwat<sup>2,6\*</sup>

## Abstract

Background: Curcumin and piperine are major bioactive compounds of Curcuma longa and Piper nigrum, widely consumed as spices and flock medicine. The combinational use of these plants is a common practice in Southeast Asia. Synergism between curcumin and piperine has been found in several animal models but not in periodontal disease and diabetes, and the antinociceptive interaction is still unknown. Hence, the present study aimed to assess the interaction between curcumin and piperine in pain and its potential CNS side effect profile.

Methods: Formalin test and in vitro LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cells were used to assess the synergistic interaction of curcumin and piperine in a mouse model of inflammatory pain. Tail-flick and cold plate tests were applied to determine the antinociceptive synergism between piperine and curcumin. The interaction was determined by applying isobolographic analysis. The potential CNS-side effects of the curcumin and piperine combination were also assessed using LABORAS automated home-cage behavioral analysis.

Results: Curcumin alone dose-dependently improved pain-like behaviors in the formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests with the ED<sub>50</sub> of 71.4, 34.4, and 31.9 mg/kg, respectively. Additionally, piperine exhibited efficacy in the formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests with the ED<sub>50</sub> of 18.4, 8.1, and 28.1 mg/kg, respectively. The combination of curcumin and piperine (1:1 ED<sub>50</sub> ratio) produced synergistic interaction in the formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests as assessed significantly lower experimental ED<sub>50</sub> values (5.9, 5.2, and 5.5 mg/kg) compared to theoretical ED<sub>50</sub> values (44.9, 21.3, and 30.0 mg/kg), isobologram analysis, and interaction index values of 0.13, 0.24 and 0.18, respectively. The synergistic interaction of curcumin and piperine was further confirmed by the efficacy of the combination in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. Curcumin and piperine interacted synergistically, reducing proinflammatory mediators. The combination also demonstrated better compatibility profiles with neuronal cells. Furthermore, the curcuminpiperine combination had no effects on mouse spontaneous locomotor behaviors in LABORAS automated home cage monitoring.

**Conclusion:** Overall, the present study demonstrates strong antinociceptive synergism between curcumin and piperine in mouse models with no potential CNS side effects, suggesting its possible use in clinical trials.

\*Correspondence: pasarapa.c@chula.ac.th

<sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. Keywords: CNS side effects, Curcumin, Formalin test, Piperine, Synergistic interaction, Tail-flick test, Cold plate test

## Background

For centuries, turmeric containing curcumin and Piper nigrum containing piperine have been used as food additives and folk medicine, including Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) [1, 2]. Curcumin is a polyphenol compound (Fig. 1A) abundantly found in the Curcuma longa Linn. plant [3]. In some countries, average curcumin consumption per day is relatively high due to its use as a cooking spice. In India, 60-100 mg/person/day of curcumin was consumed [4], whereas 2.7–14.8 mg/ person/day consumption was found in Korea [5]. In Thailand, turmeric containing curcumin, traditionally called "Khamin Chan," has been used for centuries as a carminative, stomachic, astringent, and coloring agent. In addition, Curcuma longa in dry extract and capsule form has been used traditionally to treat joint pain (osteoarthritis) and stomachic [6]. Moreover, curcumin is considered a safe compound and authorized as a GRAS compound (generally recognized as safe) by US FDA (United States Food and Drug Administration) [7]. It is well tolerated at a higher dose of 12 g in humans [7]. Curcumin has also been reported as a potential analgesic both in animals and humans and exhibits diverse cellular and molecular targets [8]. Curcumin inhibits pain neurotransmission by modulating immune and neuronal cells at cellular and mechanistic levels [8, 9]. In animal models of pain, curcumin suppresses pro-inflammatory mediators and increases endogenous anti-inflammatory mediators by modulating peripheral and central immune cells [8, 9]. It also



modulates neuronal cells by antagonizing the transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) ion channels and regulating the expression of purinergic receptors [10, 11]. Despite the efficacy of curcumin as a potential analgesic, poor physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties remain major challenges that limit its therapeutic use [12]. Hence, numerous approaches have been applied to overcome these limitations, such as nanoformulation, chemical modification, and the combination with other compounds [8]. Several drugs have been combined with curcumin, such as pregabalin, sodium diclofenac, and metformin which produced antinociceptive synergism [13–15]. Their interaction might be from their ability to act on different sites of actions in pain pathways.

Piperine (1-piperoyl piperidine) is a plant alkaloid (Fig. 1B) abundant in Piper nigrum Linn. and Piper longum Linn. [16]. Black pepper containing piperine, called "Phrik Thai Dam" in Thailand, is commonly used as a food additive and as traditional medicine, such as stomachic and carminative [17]. Piperine is also a bioenhancer that could improve the pharmacokinetic profiles of other compounds due to its ability to reduce the rate of intestinal and hepatic metabolism [16]. Piperine was found to enhance the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles of ibuprofen [18], ursolic acid [19], and curcumin [20, 21]. Piperine is also reported to have potential analgesic activity via regulating TRPV1, TRPA1, and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors [22] and ameliorating the expression of PGE2, IL-6, and MMP13 [23]. Hence, co-administration of piperine with curcumin may potentiate the antinociceptive effects of curcumin as piperine is a bio-enhancer of curcumin, and it has pharmacological efficacy against pain.

The combination of *C. longa* containing a large amount of curcumin, and *P. nigrum* containing a high amount of piperine, has been used in traditional medicine. However, its pharmacological and biological activities have caught recent attention [24, 25]. Many recent studies reported synergistic effects of curcumin and piperine combination in various pharmacological models, including lung cancer [26], aging [27], and hepatocellular carcinoma [28]. Despite the aforementioned favorable effects of combining curcumin and piperine, several studies in murine models of periodontal disease and diabetes failed to prove the synergistic interaction of the curcumin and piperine combination [29, 30]. Therefore, it is necessary to establish the efficacy of curcumin and piperine combination in each pharmacological model, including pain. Hence, in the present study, we investigated the effect of the combination of curcumin and piperine in mouse models of pain, including formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests, along with its CNS safety pharmacology. The synergistic interaction at the cellular levels was also investigated in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells, together with its compatibility with neuronal cells.

## Methods

## Synergism in LPS-induced RAW 264.7 macrophage cells *Cell culture*

RAW 264.7 macrophage cells were purchased from ATCC (Rockville, MD, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA). The cells were seeded in 24-well plates at the density of 200,000 cells/well in DMEM supplemented with FBS and penicillin-streptomycin and incubated at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 24 h.

### Cytotoxicity profiling

The cells were treated with different concentrations of curcumin (1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20  $\mu$ M) and piperine (12.5, 25, 50, 100, 200  $\mu$ M), and the plates were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 24 h. The culture media was removed, and the cells were incubated with a 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution (0.5 mg/mL) for 2 h. Then, the MTT solution was removed, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to each well. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader.

## NO assay

The NO production in cultured cells was measured using the Griess reaction. The cells were pre-treated with curcumin (0.625, 1.25, 2.5 and 5  $\mu$ M), piperine (3.125, 6.25, 12.5 and 25  $\mu$ M) and their combination (0.625+3.125, 1.25+6.25, 2.5+12.5 and 5+25  $\mu$ M Cur+Pip) for 2 h and then challenged with 1  $\mu$ g/mL LPS for 22 h. Then, 100  $\mu$ L of cell culture media was transferred to a 96-well plate, followed by adding 50  $\mu$ L of 1% (w/v) sulfanilamide and incubation in the dark for 5 min. The media was further incubated with 50  $\mu$ L of 2.5% (w/v) *N*-1-Napthylenediamine dihydrochloride for another 5 min in the dark. The absorbance was measured at 520 nm.

### Median-effect analysis

The median effect analysis described by Chou-Talalay was employed to determine the type of interaction between curcumin and piperine [31]. The dose–effect relationship between compounds was derived using the median effect equation:

$$F_a/F_u = [C/C_m]^m,$$

where  $F_{a}$ , fraction effect by compound at the concentration C ( $F_a$  values ranging from 0 to 1 represent 0 to 100% inhibition of NO production);  $F_u$ , fraction unaffected ( $F_u=1 - F_a$ ); C, concentration of test compound;  $C_m$ , concentration required to produce x% effect; m, sigmoidiciy coefficient of the dose–response curve. Then, the combination index (CI) was determined using the following formula:

$$CI = [C]_1/[C_x]_1 + [C]_2/[C_x]_2,$$

where  $[C]_1$  and  $[C_2]$ , compound 1 and 2 concentrations in combination that produce x% effect;  $[C_x]_1$  and  $[C_x]_2$ , compound 1 and 2 concentrations alone that produce x% effect. The interaction between the compounds was further visualized in the fraction affected-combination index (Fa-CI) plot and isobologram. The interaction is identified as an additive, synergistic, or antagonistic if the CI values are 1, <1, or > 1, respectively.

## ELISA

The cell culture media was further analyzed using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to determine the effect of compounds on LPS-induced proinflammatory cytokine production. IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  expression levels in cell culture media were analyzed using a commercial ELISA kit (BioLegend), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## Safety evaluation in SH-SY5Y neuronal cells Cell culture

The SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells were purchased from ATCC (MD, USA). The cells were maintained in DMEM/F-12 media supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin and incubated at 37 °C in a 5%  $\rm CO_2$  atmosphere.

## Cell viability assay

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 50,000 cells/well density and incubated at 37°C in a 5%  $CO_2$  atmosphere for 24 h. The cells were exposed to  $EC_{50}$ ,  $EC_{75}$ , and  $EC_{90}$  concentrations of curcumin, piperine alone, and their combination (obtained in RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line) for 24 h. Then the cell viability was measured using the MTT assay.

## Apoptosis and necrosis assay

Hoechst 33342 and Propidium Iodide (PI) staining were used to visualize the morphology and characteristics of apoptotic and necrotic cells, respectively. Cells were seeded in 24-well plates at the density of 200,000 cells/ well and treated with  $EC_{90}$  concentrations of curcumin, piperine, and their combination for 24 h. Then the cells were washed with PBS and stained with Hoechst 33342 and PI solutions for 15 min. The cell morphology was observed under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX51 inverted microscope, Tokyo, Japan), and the images obtained were further processed using Image-J (NIH, MD, USA).

## Animals

Male ICR mice aged 5–8 weeks (Nomura International, Bangkok, Thailand) were used for all experiments. Mice were acclimatized in the animal facility for at least 1 week before the experiment. The mice were housed 4–5 mice per cage and maintained on 12 h light/dark conditions, with a humidity of 40–60% and a temperature of  $23 \pm 1$  °C with food and water *ad libitum*. In the experiments, animals were randomly selected for a given group. The protocols and procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University (Protocol No. 20–03-003).

### Compound preparation and administration

Curcumin (>95.0%) was obtained from Shaanxi Kanglai Ecology Agriculture Co., Ltd., Xi'an, 110 China. Piperine (>97.0%) was obtained from Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA. Mice were randomly allocated into five groups for each compound. The behavioral tests were carried out at 09.00 -17.00 in a quiet room during the daytime. On the day of the experiment, mice were allowed to acclimatize 1-2 h to the laboratory room. For curcumin treatment in the formalin test, each group of mice received carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC, 0.5%, in normal saline) and curcumin at 10, 30, 100, 300 mg/kg body weight orally. For piperine treatment in the formalin test, 3, 10, 30, and 100 mg/kg doses of piperine were selected. In a thermal nociceptive test by tail-flick, 3, 10, 30, 100 mg/kg of curcumin and 1, 3, 10, 30 mg/kg of piperine were administered orally. For the cold plate test, curcumin and piperine at 3, 10, 30, 100 mg/kg doses were used. The dose ranges of curcumin and piperine alone were selected according to previous studies [14, 32]. Furthermore, the coadministration of curcumin and piperine to the mice was performed using at least four doses of the combination in a fixed ratio (1:1) of  $ED_{50}$  of each treatment alone: 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, and  $1/16 \times (curcumin \ ED_{50} + piperine \ ED_{50}).$  All drugs were suspended in 0.5% CMC and administered orally in a constant volume of 10 ml/kg bodyweight.

## Assessment of pain-like behaviors *Formalin test*

The subplantar surface of the left hind paw was subcutaneously administered with 10  $\mu$ L of 5% formalin diluted in normal saline one hour after compound administrations. Duration of licking behaviors as a representative of pain-like behaviors was recorded for 40 min as previously described [33]. The licking behaviors were categorized to phase I (0–5 min) and phase II (10–40 min) for analysis. The percentage antinociceptive efficacy of the test compounds was calculated using the following formula:

% antinociception = 
$$100 - [(D_{treatment} / D_{control}) \times 100]$$

 $\rm D_{treatment}$  is the duration of licking behaviors of mice receiving either monotherapy of curcumin, piperine, or their combination, whereas  $\rm D_{control}$  represents the duration of licking behaviors of mice receiving 0.5% CMC.

### Biochemical analysis of paw tissues and spinal cord

After behavioral measures, mice were euthanized by  $CO_2$  aspiration, and ipsilateral paw and spinal cord tissues were extracted. Isolated tissues were weighed and mixed with ice-cold PBS (20%, w/v), centrifuged at 10,000 rpm, 4°C for 10 min. Supernatants were collected and stored at -80°C until used for ELISA. IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  expression levels in tissue supernatants were analyzed using a commercial ELISA kit (BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

## Tail-flick test

The tail-flick test was selected to assess the effects of the test compounds on thermal/heat nociception. The thermal stimuli from the tail-flick apparatus (Harvard Apparatus, Massachusetts, USA) were applied to the tail of the mice at the mid-region of the dorsal surface. The heat lamp intensity was adjusted to obtain the baseline latency of 3–4 s. The duration of the stimulation until the flicking of the tail was considered tail-flick latency. The cut-off value was set to be 8 s to avoid tail tissue damage. The effects of the test compounds were assessed at time intervals of 0, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 240 min post-compound administration. Percentage antinociception was presented as the percentage of the maximal possible effect of the treatment (%MPE), which was determined using the following formula:

%MPE = 
$$[(\text{post-treatment latency} - \text{pre-treatment latency}) / (\text{cut-off} - \text{pre-treatment latency})] \times 100$$

### Cold plate test

The cold plate test was used to assess the effects of curcumin and piperine in monotherapy and combination therapy on cold nociception using a cold plate apparatus (Ugo Basile, VA, Italy). The apparatus was set at 2°C constant temperature, and the baseline latencies to pain-like behaviors (licking, lifting, or shaking of hind paws or jumping out from the cold surface) before compound administration was recorded in triplicate. Then the mice were orally administered with test compounds, and the cold plate latencies were measured 60 min post-compound administration. A cut-off time of 60 s was established to avoid tissue damage. Percentage antinociception was presented as the percentage of the maximal possible effect of the treatment (%MPE), which was determined using the following formula:

$$\text{%MPE} = \left[ \left( \text{post-treatment latency} - \text{pre-treatment latency} \right) / \left( \text{cut-off} - \text{pre-treatment latency} \right) \right] \times 100$$

## Assessment of CNS safety profile—LABORAS automated home cage behavioral analysis

The effects of the test compounds on spontaneous locomotor activity were assessed in the LABORAS automated home cage behavioral analysis as previously described [34]. Mice were administered with the highest dose of curcumin (300 mg/kg), piperine (100 mg/kg), and their theoretical ED<sub>50</sub> doses in the formalin test and tail-flick test (44.9 and 21.3 mg/kg, respectively). The spontaneous locomotor activity was measured at one hour post-compound administration for 30 min. The effects of individual curcumin, piperine, and the combination on spontaneous locomotor activity were presented as duration and frequency of mobile behaviors (climbing, rearing, locomotion), immobility, speed, and distance traveled. The position distribution of mice in the cage was also visualized.

### Data analysis

## ED<sub>50</sub> analysis

The doses that produce 50% antinociceptive effects in formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests were further analyzed. For the individual compound and their coadministration, experimental  $ED_{50}$  was determined by linear regression analysis of the log dose–response curve.

### Isobolographic analysis

Isobolographic analysis was performed to determine the interaction between curcumin and piperine in the formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests, as previously described by Tallarida [35]. The experimental  $ED_{50}$  and theoretical  $ED_{50}$  were determined. The theoretical  $ED_{50}$  is calculated using the following formula:

$$ED_{50add} = f(ED_{50D1}) + (1 - f) (ED_{50D1})$$

where  $ED_{50 add}$  represents theoretical  $ED_{50}$ ,  $ED_{50 D1}$  represents  $ED_{50}$  of curcumin,  $ED_{50 D2}$  represents  $ED_{50}$  of piperine, f represents fraction.

The isobologram was constructed using the  $ED_{50}$  data, and the theoretical ED<sub>50</sub> of curcumin and piperine were connected using a line (additive line). Further, the experimental ED<sub>50</sub> of the combination was also included in the isobologram presented as a point. The location of the experimental ED<sub>50</sub> of the combination in the isobologram was used to determine the antinociceptive interaction between curcumin and piperine. If the point is below the additive line, the interaction is considered synergistic, whereas if the point lies above the additive line, the interaction is considered antagonistic. The significant difference between the theoretical and experimental ED<sub>50</sub> of the combination was also assessed by t-test to further confirm the antinociceptive interaction. Furthermore, the interaction index was calculated using the following formula:

$$\gamma = \text{ED}_{50 \text{ exp}} / \text{ED}_{50 \text{ add}}$$

where  $\gamma$  represents the interaction index, ED<sub>50 exp</sub> represents experimental ED<sub>50</sub>, and ED<sub>50 add</sub> represents theoretical ED<sub>50</sub>. The interaction index values of < 1, 1, and > 1 are used to define synergistic, additive, and antagonistic interaction, respectively.

(See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 2** Effect of individual curcumin, piperine, and curcumin-piperine combination on pain-like behaviors in the mouse formalin model. Formalin-induced pain-like behaviors are expressed in the time course of hind paw licking behaviors and the total duration of licking behaviors in phase I (0–5 min after formalin injection) and phase II (10–40 min after formalin injection). Schematic presentation of the experimental design (**A**, **B**). Hind paw licking durations of mice treated with curcumin (**C**), piperine (**D**), and curcumin-piperine combination (**E**). Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M (n = 8 mice/group). The differences between the vehicle-treated group and treatment groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc test. \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*p < 0.05. CMC, carboxymethyl cellulose; Cur, curcumin; Pip, piperine. The 1/16, 1/8, ¼ and ½ ED<sub>50</sub> correspond to 5.6, 11.2, 22.5 and 44.9 mg/kg of curcumin-piperine combination



### Statistical analysis

All data are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9.4.1 by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni post hoc test and t-test. The significant level is p < 0.05.

## Results

## Curcumin and piperine alone dose-dependently reduce pain-like behaviors in the mouse formalin model

As shown in Fig. 2, administration of formalin induced biphasic pain-like behavioral response in mice: phase I (0-5 min) and phase II (10-40 min). In phase II, the hind paw licking behavior gradually increased, peaked at 20-25 min, and gradually declined. Both individual administrations of curcumin and piperine attenuated pain-like behaviors in mice induced with formalin in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2C and D). When compared with the vehicle group, oral administration of curcumin at doses of 30, 100, and 300 mg/kg and piperine at doses of 10, 30, and 100 mg/kg significantly reduced the duration of licking behaviors in phase II of the formalin test (p < 0.05). The highest dose of curcumin (300 mg/ kg) and piperine (100 mg/kg) exerted a 63% and 89% reduction in formalin-induced licking behavior compared to the vehicle-treated group. Not only the phase II, Page 7 of 21

curcumin and piperine also inhibited phase I formalininduced pain behaviors at higher doses. The individual dose of curcumin and piperine required to exert 50% antinociception in phase II ( $\text{ED}_{50}$ ) was then determined using log doses versus % antinociception curves (Fig. 3A). The  $\text{ED}_{50}$  values of individual curcumin and piperine were determined as 71.4±21.9 and 18.4±3.1 mg/kg, respectively.

## The combination of curcumin and piperine synergistically ameliorates pain-like behaviors in the mouse formalin model

The antinociceptive interaction between curcumin and piperine was determined according to the method established by Tallarida et al. [36]. The combinations of curcumin and piperine were orally administered in fixeddose fractions of their respective  $ED_{50}$  of the individual drug in the formalin test (1/2, 1/4, 1/8, and 1/16). ½  $ED_{50}$ dose was 44.9 mg/kg curcumin and piperin combination, containing 35.7 mg/kg of curcumin and 9.2 mg/kg of piperine. As demonstrated in Fig. 2E, co-administration of curcumin and piperine dose-dependently reduced pain-like behaviors in phase II of the formalin test with a maximum antinociceptive effect of 76% at the theoretical additive  $ED_{50}$  dose (44.9 mg/kg) (Fig. 3A). The



**Fig. 3** Dose-responses curves of curcumin, piperine, and the combination of curcumin and piperine in the formalin test (**A**) and their isobologram (**B**). Antinociceptive effects are expressed as % antinociception. Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  S.E.M (n = 8 mice/group). The difference between ED<sub>50 add</sub> and ED<sub>50 exp</sub> was analyzed using the unpaired t-test. \*\*\*p < 0.001

	$ED_{50} \pm SEM$				γ
	Curcumin	Piperine	Combination		
			Theoretical additive	Experimental	
Formalin Test	71.4±21.9	18.4±3.1	44.9±12.5	5.9±2.2 ****	0.13
Tail-Flick Test	34.4±6.1	$8.1 \pm 0.8$	$21.3 \pm 3.4$	5.2±0.6 ***	0.24
Cold Plate Test	$31.9 \pm 5.5$	$28.1 \pm 6.3$	$30.0 \pm 5.9$	$5.5 \pm 0.7$	0.18

**Table 1** The antinociceptive activity of individual curcumin, piperine, and curcumin-piperine combination in the formalin, tail-flick and cold plate tests

 $ED_{50}$ , dose required to exert 50% antinociception;  $ED_{50 \text{ add}}$  theoretical  $ED_{50}$ ;  $ED_{50 \text{ exp}}$  experimental  $ED_{50}$ ;  $\gamma$ , interaction index



experimental  $ED_{50}$  dose was determined at 5.9 mg/kg (4.7 mg/kg of curcumin + 1.2 mg/kg of piperine). Moreover, the dose–response curve for the curcumin and piperine combination shifted left from the dose–response curves of individual treatments (Fig. 3A). Isobolographic analysis of the combination demonstrated the location

of the experimental  $ED_{50}$  below the predictive additive line, which indicates synergistic interaction between curcumin and piperine in the formalin model (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, statistical analysis confirmed synergistic interaction by the significant difference between theoretical  $ED_{50}$  and experimental  $ED_{50}$  and the interaction index less than one (0.13) (Fig. 3B, Table 1).

## Curcumin and piperine significantly ameliorate formalin-induced peripheral and central inflammation

The proinflammatory cytokine expression in mouse paw tissue and spinal cord samples was evaluated to determine the underline mechanism of curcumin and piperine in inflammatory pain. As demonstrated in Fig. 4, formalin administration significantly increased the proinflammatory cytokine expression (IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ ) in paw tissues and spinal cords of mice, indicating induction of peripheral and central inflammation, respectively. Treatment with curcumin and piperine alone or in combination at their experimental ED<sub>50</sub> doses down-regulated the formalin-induced proinflammatory cytokine expression. All treatments showed comparable inhibition in formalin-induced IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  production in paw tissue and the spinal cord samples.

## Curcumin and piperine synergistically attenuate inflammatory response in-vitro

The probable interaction between curcumin and piperine at the cellular level was then evaluated using RAW 264.7 macrophage cell line to assess the involvement of peripheral immune cells in the antinociceptive effects observed in phase II of the formalin model. Curcumin and piperine at concentrations higher than 5 and 25  $\mu$ M, respectively, showed significant cytotoxicity compared to the control (Fig. 5A and B). Hence, in subsequent experiments, curcumin and piperine at the maximum concentration of 5  $\mu$ M and 25  $\mu$ M, respectively, were used for combination (1:5 ratio). As shown in Fig. 5C, curcumin, piperine, and their



**Fig. 5** Effect of curcumin and piperine alone and in combination in LPS-induced RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. (**A**, **B**) Cytotoxicity profile of curcumin (**A**) and piperine (**B**) in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. (**C**) Concentration–response curves for NO inhibitory effects of curcumin, piperine, and combination in LPS-induced RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. (**D**) Fa-Cl plot, representing the interaction between curcumin and piperine in NO inhibition. (**E**) Normalized isobologram, representing NO inhibitory effects of curcumin, piperine, and their combination at 25%, 50%, and 90% effect levels. (**F**, **G**) Effect of curcumin, piperine, and their combination on LPS-induced IL-6 (**F**) and TNF- $\alpha$  (**G**) production in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells. Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M (n = 3). The difference between the treatment and control groups was analyzed using ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc test for cell viability assay. \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*p < 0.05, compared to the control group. For the cytokine expression, the differences between the groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test. <sup>S</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the control group, \*p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual concentration of curcumin, and <sup>&</sup>p < 0.05, compared to the respective individual conce

combination inhibited the LPS-induced nitric oxide (NO) production in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells in a concentration-dependent manner. Curcumin-piperine combination exhibited higher %inhibition in NO production compared to the additive effects of curcumin and piperine individual treatment in all concentrations except for the highest concentration. The interaction evaluated by the Chou-Talalay method revealed the synergistic interaction between the compounds at all concentrations tested (Fig. 5D). As indicated in the Fa-CI plot, the CI values at each effect level were lesser than 1, suggesting synergism between compounds.

Further, an isobologram was constructed to visualize the interaction between compounds at 25%, 50%, and 90% affect levels (Fig. 5E). As indicated in the isobologram at each affected level, the concentrations of combination required to exert the same effect lie below the respective additivity line, demonstrating synergistic interaction between compounds. For example, the concentrations of curcumin and piperine alone required to inhibit NO production by 50% are 4.1 and 20.0 µM, respectively. However, when the curcuminpiperine combination is used, the  $ED_{50}$  dose is reduced to 7.0  $\mu$ M (1.2  $\mu$ M Cur + 5.8  $\mu$ M Pip). Moreover, both curcumin and piperine significantly suppressed the LPS-induced pro-inflammatory cytokine expression (IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ ) in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 5F and G). The curcumin-piperine combination at the highest dose exhibited significantly lesser

expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines compared to the individual treatment at respective concentrations.

## Curcumin-piperine combination showed better compatibility with neuronal cells compared to individual treatments

To predict the safety of curcumin-piperine in neuronal cells, the toxicity of curcumin, piperine alone, and in combination at their  $EC_{50}$ ,  $EC_{75}$ , and  $EC_{90}$  concentrations were evaluated in the SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cell line (Fig. 6A). As shown in Fig. 6B, curcumin and piperine at  $ED_{90}$  concentrations (148.3 and 10.2  $\mu$ M, respectively) significantly reduced the cell viability compared to the control cells. However, the curcumin-piperine combination at the  $EC_{90}$  concentration, 30.6  $\mu$ M (5.1  $\mu$ M Cur + 25.5  $\mu$ M Pip), exhibited no toxicity to SH-SY5Y cells. Further, the Hoechst 33342 and PI staining showed apoptosis and necrosis



Fig. 6 Compatibility of curcumin, piperine, and their combination with SH-SYSY neuronal cells. (A) Experimental design. (B) Cell viability after treatment with EC<sub>50</sub>, EC<sub>75</sub>, and EC<sub>90</sub> concentrations of curcumin (4.0, 6.4, and 10.2  $\mu$ M), piperine (20.0, 54.5, and 148.3  $\mu$ M), and curcumin-piperine combination (1.2 + 5.8, 2.4 + 12.2, and 5.1 + 25.5  $\mu$ M Cur and Pip). (C) Effect of curcumin, piperine, and their combination at EC<sub>90</sub> concentration on apoptosis and necrosis visualized by Hoechst 33342/Pl double staining. Data are expressed as means ± S.E.M (n = 3). The differences between the control and treatment groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc test. \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01





in cells treated with  $EC_{90}$  concentrations of curcumin and piperine but less evident in cells treated with the curcumin-piperine combination (Fig. 6C). The cells treated with  $EC_{90}$  concentrations of curcumin and piperine alone showed condensed and fragmented nuclei in Hoechst 33342 staining, referring to cellular apoptosis, and evident red color staining in PI staining, referring to necrosis.

## Curcumin and piperine alone dose-dependently reduce pain-like behaviors induced by heat stimuli

The effects of oral administration of individual curcumin and piperine on acute nociceptive pain were assessed in the tail-flick test. After each compound administration, tail-flick latency was measured at 0, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 240 min post-compound administration. As shown in Fig. 7, the tail-flick latency of vehicle-treated mice remained unchanged (3-4 s) throughout the 240 min experimental period, whereas treatment with individual curcumin and piperine dose-dependently increased the tail-flick latency. Compared with the vehicle-treated group, curcumin at 10, 30, and 100 mg/g doses and piperine at 3, 10, and 30 mg/kg doses significantly attenuated thermal stimuli-induced nociceptive pain in the tail-flick test. The peak effect of either individual curcumin or piperine was observed at 60 min post-compound administration and was used to calculate the antinociceptive effects of the compounds presented as %MPE (Fig. 7C-E). The curcumin and piperine at the highest doses tested effectively attenuated thermal nociception in mice up to 67% and 74%, respectively (Fig. 7C and D). The ED<sub>50</sub> doses were derived using respective dose–response curves: ED<sub>50</sub> of curcumin and piperine were  $34.4\pm6.1$  and  $8.1\pm0.8$ , respectively (Fig. 8A).

## The combination of curcumin and piperine synergistically interacts in suppressing pain-like behaviors induced by heat stimuli

After investigating the effects of individual drugs in the tail-flick test, the combination of curcumin and piperine was further tested. As shown in Fig. 7E, the combination of curcumin and piperine dose-dependently improved painlike behaviors induced by thermal stimuli. The peak effect of the combination was observed at 60 min post-compound administration, which was further used to calculate %MPE. Curcumin-piperine combination at the theoretical ED<sub>50</sub> dose (21.3 mg/kg) significantly decreased thermal nociception by 74% compared to the vehicle-treated group (Fig. 8A). Moreover, the dose–response curve for the combination shifted left from the individual dose-response curves of curcumin and piperine. Accordingly, the  $ED_{50}$  of the combination was  $5.2 \pm 0.6$  mg/kg (4.2 mg/kg curcumin and 1 mg/kg piperine). The administration of curcumin and piperine in combination significantly reduced the  $ED_{50}$  dose by 76% from the predicted  $ED_{50}$  dose (p < 0.001, *t*-test). Furthermore, the location of experimental  $ED_{50}$ below the additive line of the isobologram (Fig. 8B) and the interaction index value of 0.24 indicate a strong antinociceptive synergism between curcumin and piperine in the tail-flick test.





## Curcumin and piperine alone dose-dependently reduce pain-like behaviors induced by cold stimuli

The efficacy of curcumin, piperine, and their combination in attenuating the cold nociception was evaluated using the cold plate test. As shown in Fig. 9, curcumin and piperine dose-dependently enhanced the cold tolerance in mice compared to the vehicle-treated mice. Curcumin and piperine at 100 mg/kg dose significantly attenuated cold nociception up to 86.1 and 74.9%, respectively. The ED<sub>50</sub> doses were calculated from respective dose– response curves. Curcumin at  $31.92 \pm 5.5$  mg/kg and piperine at 28.1  $\pm$  6.3 mg/kg exerted 50% antinociception to cold stimuli.

## The combination of curcumin and piperine synergistically

interacts in suppressing pain-like behaviors by cold stimuli Following the evaluation of curcumin and piperine alone in the cold plate test, the combination of them at the ratio of their  $ED_{50}$  doses was evaluated. Curcumin and piperine combination dose-dependently improved pain-like behaviors induced by cold stimuli (Fig. 9E). Curcumin and piperine at their theoretical  $ED_{50}$  dose (30.0 mg/kg) exerted 87.0% antinociception compared to the vehicle-treated group. The dose–response curve for the combination shifted left from the individualdose response curves (Fig. 10A), and the experimentally derived  $ED_{50}$  dose for the combination was  $5.5 \pm 0.7$  mg/ kg (2.9 mg/kg Cur+2.6 mg/kg Pip). The isobologram analysis further revealed the location of the experimental  $ED_{50}$  value below the additive line (Fig. 10B), and the interaction index was 0.18, indicating a strong antinociceptive synergism between compounds to the cold stimuli.

## No effects of the combination of curcumin and piperine on spontaneous locomotor activity

To determine the potential side effects of curcumin on CNS, spontaneous locomotor activity after



administration of the test compounds was assessed in the LABORAS (Fig. 11A). Mice were administered with the highest dose of curcumin (300 mg/kg), piperine (100 mg/kg), and their theoretical  $ED_{50}$  doses in the formalin test and tail-flick test (44.9 and 21.3 mg/ kg, respectively). The spontaneous locomotor activity was measured at 1 h post-compound administration for 30 min. The results demonstrated that the administration of the highest dose of curcumin did not affect spontaneous locomotor activity, whereas the administration of the highest dose of piperine impaired locomotor activity. As shown in Fig. 11B, mice treated with vehicle and curcumin explored the entire cage. In contrast, the position distribution of mice treated with piperine was mostly limited to the edges of the cage, indicating impaired exploratory behaviors. The impairment of spontaneous locomotor activity by piperine was also characterized by a reduction in mobile behaviors (climbing, locomotion, and rearing) and increasing immobility. The statistically significant difference in locomotive behaviors between vehicle- and piperinetreated groups was observed in locomotion (s), locomotion (f), immobility (s), speed (mm/s), and distance traveled (m) (Figs. 12 and 13). Interestingly, treatment with curcumin and piperine combination doses showed no effects on locomotive behaviors in mice.

### Discussion

The main objective of the present study was to determine the synergistic interaction between curcumin and piperine in mouse models of pain. The results demonstrated that both curcumin and piperine alone reduced pain-like behaviors in the formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests. In addition, the fixed-dose fractions of curcumin and piperine combination produced synergistic interaction in formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests in mice. Furthermore, a significant reduction in locomotive behaviors was only observed with the administration of the highest dose of piperine but not with the curcumin or curcuminpiperine combination, indicating no potential CNS side effects of curcumin-piperine combination at its highest therapeutic doses.

In the present study, the formalin-induced mouse model was used as a model of inflammatory pain. In the formalin test, hind paw licking is identified as painlike behavior and categorized into two phases. Phase I is the direct sensitization of formalin to peripheral neurons, while Phase II is the sensitization of peripheral neurons due to inflammatory response. Moreover, intraplantar administration of formalin causes peripheral immune cells to be recruited and infiltrated into the inflammatory sites [37]. Non-neuronal cells, such as macrophages, are reported to have a role in initiating



inflammatory pain by releasing proinflammatory mediators, which then enhance pain neurotransmission [38]. Apart from the short-term responses, phase II is marked by a continuous release of proinflammatory mediators caused by the activation of spinal microglia, which sensitize the projection neurons leading to central sensitization [39–41]. On the other hand, pain-like behaviors induced by thermal stimuli (hot and cold) in the tail-flick and cold plate tests are identified as the withdrawal of the tail from the radiant heat and licking of the hind paw or jumping off against cold stimuli, respectively. These thermal models, thought to be a spinal reflex, might engage higher brain systems, mainly indicating central analgesia [42, 43]. In addition, the tail-flick test is characterized by activation nociceptors, TRPV1 and TRPV3 [44]. For the cold plate, pain-like









behaviors are mechanistically initiated by activating TRPA1 [45] and TRPM8 [46]. Therefore, effective attenuation of pain by curcumin, piperine, and their combination in the formalin, tail-flick, and cold plate tests indicates their plausible effects on both peripheral and central sensitization by modulation nociceptors and inflammatory mediators. At the cellular level, using macrophage cells, curcumin and piperine interacted synergistically, suppressing inflammatory mediators with favorable compatibility with neuronal cells. In addition, biochemical analysis of paw tissue and spinal cord samples in mice that underwent formalin test revealed significant attenuation of proinflammatory cytokine expression by curcumin, piperine alone, and in combination in both tissues indicating their potential to alleviate peripheral and central inflammation.

Curcumin and piperine have been shown to interact synergistically in various preclinical pharmacological studies [26–28]. In contrast, curcumin and piperine failed to exhibit synergistic interactions in periodontal disease and diabetic models [29, 30]. Furthermore, the efficacy of lipoic acid plus curcumin phytosome and piperine has been evaluated in humans with neuropathic pain, yet the interaction between those two compounds in pain models remains to be determined [47]. Therefore, controversy still exists as to whether curcumin and piperine combination can synergistically interact in mouse models of pain. In the present study, individual curcumin and piperine significantly reduced pain-like behaviors induced by formalin and thermal stimuli in a dose-dependent manner. Furthermore, the combination of curcumin and piperine elicited a greater antinociceptive effect compared to that of either curcumin or piperine alone. The isobolographic analysis was performed to evaluate the type of interaction, wherein strong antinociceptive synergism between curcumin and piperine was found. This interaction produced by the combination of these two compounds could be due to their pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic interactions.

Recently, a growing body of evidence has shown that compounds with different sites of action in pain pathways have a higher potential to elicit synergistic interactions. Curcumin exhibits diverse cellular and molecular actions. It inhibits pro-inflammatory mediator release by activated-peripheral and central immune cells. Furthermore, curcumin also modulates neuronal cells via TRPV1, purinergic, and chemokine receptors. In addition, piperine was also found to improve pain-like behaviors via modulating neuronal receptors, such as TRPV1, TRPA1, and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors [22]. Pharmacodynamically, the combination of curcumin and piperine could simultaneously inhibit multiple sites of action in the pain pathway. The ability of curcumin and piperine to modulate diverse pathways of pain could lead to robust inhibition of pain transmission when those are coadministered. Hence, the pharmacodynamic interaction between curcumin and piperine could be one of the potential reasons for the observed antinociceptive synergism in this study.

In addition, it has also been proved that piperine can increase the delivery of curcumin to systemic circulation due to its ability to improve the pharmacokinetic profiles of curcumin. Piperine was reported to increase the bioavailability of oral curcumin: 154% and 2000% increase in curcumin concentration in the plasma was observed in rats and humans, respectively [20, 21]. The increased concentration of curcumin in the bloodstream is due to the ability of piperine to decrease the rate of metabolism of curcumin in the intestine and liver. In the intestine, piperine regulates membrane lipid dynamics and inhibits the intestinal metabolism of curcumin, leading to enhanced curcumin retention in the intestine [48]. Furthermore, piperine reduces the rate of curcumin metabolism in the liver by hindering aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylation, ethylmorphine-N-demethylation, 7-ethoxycoumarin-Odeethylation, and 3-hydroxy-benzo(a)pyrene glucuronidation and glucuronidation [49], which subsequently reduce the first-pass metabolism of curcumin. Hence, coadministration of piperine and curcumin enhances the oral bioavailability of curcumin, and thereby, its therapeutic efficacy. This factor may also have influenced the strong antinociceptive synergism observed in this study.

Reducing the therapeutic dose of curcumin and piperine can potentially minimize their side effects since previous studies showed potential side effects of both curcumin and piperine at higher doses [50]. At a higher dose, curcumin causes diarrhea and nausea [51]. For piperine, it can cause respiratory paralysis and edema in the urinary and gastrointestinal tracts [52]. Therefore, reducing the dose of the compounds will also reduce their side effects which can be achieved by administering drugs in combination form. In the present study, despite its combination efficacy, the potential side effects of the combination were determined. At the cellular level, neuronal cells treated with EC<sub>90</sub> concentrations of curcumin and piperine showed significant cytotoxicity to neuronal cells. However, the cells treated with the curcumin-piperine combination at their EC<sub>90</sub> concentration showed no toxicity to neuronal cells. This could be due to the reduced dose requirement in combination therapy, leading to reduced toxicity. Further, the CNS safety profile of the combination was evaluated in the LABORAS by assessing the effects of the curcumin and piperine combination on spontaneous locomotor activity. The clinical relevance of the rodents' locomotive behaviors to the

CNS side effects in humans has previously been established. For example, impaired locomotor activity and rearing in rodents resemble dizziness in humans, and also impaired home cage behaviors are employed as a model for somnolence and fatigue [53]. The LABORAS automatic behavioral analysis system facilitates the successful and precise identification and characterization of each of those rodent behaviors. Hence, it is used as a model to predict the CNS side effects of compounds. We found no effects of the individual curcumin and combination on the spontaneous locomotor activity at their high doses, while individual piperine reduced locomotor activity. The results indicate no potential CNS side effects of the curcumin and piperine combination. Moreover, coadministration of curcumin and piperine could be a potential approach to overcome the locomotor impairment induced by high doses of piperine as it lowers the required therapeutic doses.

### Conclusion

In summary, this study demonstrates that the combination of curcumin and piperine acts synergistically in mouse models of pain without showing any potential CNS side effects. The information on curcumin and piperine interaction in mouse models of pain will perhaps give clinical implications and could be further used to treat patients with pain.

#### Abbreviations

ANOVA: Analysis of variance; CMC: Carboxymethyl cellulose; CNS: Central nervous system; Cur: Curcumin; Cur + Pip: Curcumin piperine combination;  $ED_{50}$ add: Theoretical  $ED_{50}$  dose;  $ED_{50 exp}$ : Experimental  $ED_{50}$  dose; GABA: Gammaaminobutyric acid; GRAS: Generally recognized as safe; IL-6: Interleukin-6; LABORAS: Laboratory animal behavior observation registration and analysis system; MMP13: Matrix metallopeptidase 13; MPE: Maximum possible effect; PGE<sub>2</sub>: Prostaglandin E2; Pip: Piperine; TRPA1: Transient receptor potential ankyrin 1; TRPV1: Transient receptor potential vanilloid 1; US FDA: United States Food and Drug Administration;  $\gamma$ : Interaction index.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the Second Century Fund (C2F) Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University (H.) and the 100th anniversary Scholarship, Chulalongkorn University (P.W. D.W)

### Author contributions

PB participated in the design of the study, data acquisition, examination and statistical analyses of the results and writing the manuscript. PWDW and H. were involved in the design of the study, statistical analyses and interpretation of the results and writing the manuscript. OV and PR suggested comments and provided a critical review of the manuscript. PT was involved in the conception and design of the study, implementation of the study and provided suggestions and critical review on the manuscript as the supervisor. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

This work is supported by Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand (P.T.) (Grant number - HEA663300004) and Graduate School Thesis Grant, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand (P.B.) (Grant Number – GCUGR1225642010M).

#### Data availability

Data will be made available upon request. Contact pasarapa.c@chula.ac.th.

### Declarations

### **Ethics declarations**

All the animal protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University (protocol No. 2033003) and carried out in accordance with the recommendations of the IACUC.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Inter-Department Program of Pharmacology, Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. <sup>3</sup>Pharmaceutical Sciences and Technology Program, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. <sup>4</sup>Molecular Probes for Imaging Research Network, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. <sup>5</sup>Department of Food and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand. <sup>6</sup>Center of Excellence in Natural Products for Ageing and Chronic Diseases, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand.

### Received: 31 March 2022 Accepted: 25 August 2022 Published online: 23 October 2022

#### References

- Siviero A, Gallo E, Maggini V, Gori L, Mugelli A, Firenzuoli F, et al. Curcumin, a golden spice with a low bioavailability. J Herb Med. 2015;5:57–70.
- Takooree H, Aumeeruddy MZ, Rengasamy KRR, Venugopala KN, Jeewon R, Zengin G, et al. A systematic review on black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.): from folk uses to pharmacological applications. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2019;59:S210-43. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1565489.
- Gupta SC, Patchva S, Koh W, Aggarwal BB. Discovery of curcumin, a component of golden spice, and its miraculous biological activities. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2012;39:283–99.
- Shah BH, Nawaz Z, Pertani SA, Roomi A, Mahmood H, Saeed SA, et al. Inhibitory effect of curcumin, a food spice from turmeric, on plateletactivating factor- and arachidonic acid-mediated platelet aggregation through inhibition of thromboxane formation and Ca2+ signaling. Biochem Pharmacol England. 1999;58:1167–72.
- Kwon Y. Estimation of curcumin intake in Korea based on the Korea national health and nutrition examination survey (2008–2012). Nutr Res Pract. 2014;8:589–94.
- Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health T. Thai Herbal Pharmacopoeia 2020 Volume I. Nonthaburi: Department of Medical Sciences; 2020.
- US FDA. Notice to US Food and Drug Administration of the Conclusion that the Intended Use of Curcumin is Generally Recognized as Safe. 2018.
- 8. Hasriadi, Dasuni WPW, Vajragupta O, Rojsitthisak P, Towiwat P. Mechanistic insight into the effects of curcumin on neuroinflammation-driven chronic pain. Pharmaceuticals. 2021;4:777.
- Sun J, Chen F, Braun C, Zhou Y-Q, Rittner H, Tian Y-K, et al. Role of curcumin in the management of pathological pain. Phytomedicine. 2018;48:129–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2018.04.045.
- Yeon KY, Kim SA, Kim YH, Lee MK, Ahn DK, Kim HJ, et al. Curcumin produces an antihyperalgesic effect via antagonism of TRPV1. J Dent Res. 2010;89:170–4. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034509356169.
- 11. Jia T, Rao J, Zou L, Zhao S, Yi Z, Wu B, et al. Nanoparticle-encapsulated curcumin inhibits diabetic neuropathic pain involving the P2Y12 receptor

in the dorsal root ganglia. Front Neurosci. 2018;11:755. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fnins.2017.00755/full.

- Heger M, van Golen RF, Broekgaarden M, Michel MC. The molecular basis for the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of curcumin and its metabolites in relation to cancer. Pharmacol Rev United States. 2014;66:222–307.
- Leksiri S, Hasriadi, Dasuni Wasana PW, Vajragupta O, Rojsitthisak P, Towiwat P. Co-administration of pregabalin and curcumin synergistically decreases pain-like behaviors in acute nociceptive pain murine models. Molecules. 2020;25:1–14.
- De Paz-Campos MA, Ortiz MI, Chávez Piña AE, Zazueta-Beltrán L, Castañeda-Hernández G. Synergistic effect of the interaction between curcumin and diclofenac on the formalin test in rats. Phytomedicine. 2014;21:1543–8.
- Dasuni WPW, Hasriadi A, Muangnoi C, Vajragupta O, Rojsitthisak P, Rojsitthisak P, et al. Curcumin and metformin synergistically modulate peripheral and central immune mechanisms of pain. Sci Rep. 2022;12:9713.
- Tiwari A, Mahadik KR, Gabhe SY. Piperine: a comprehensive review of methods of isolation, purification, and biological properties. Med Drug Discov. 2020;7: 100027.
- Department of Medical Sciences, Ministry of Public Health T. Thai Herbal Pharmacopoeia 2020 Volume II. Nonthaburi: Department of Medical Sciences; 2020.
- Venkatesh S, Durga KD, Padmavathi Y, Reddy BM, Mullangi R. Influence of piperine on ibuprofen induced antinociception and its pharmacokinetics. Arzneimittelforschung Germany. 2011;61:506–9.
- Biswas S, Kar A, Sharma N, Haldar PK, Mukherjee PK. Synergistic effect of ursolic acid and piperine in CCl4 induced hepatotoxicity. Ann Med Taylor & Francis. 2021;53:2009–17.
- Suresh D, Srinivasan K. Tissue distribution & elimination of capsaicin, piperine & curcumin following oral intake in rats. Indian J Med Res India. 2010;131:682–91.
- Shoba G, Joy D, Joseph T, Majeed M, Rajendran R, Srinivas PSSR. Influence of piperine on the pharmacokinetics of curcumin in animals and human volunteers. Planta Med. 1998;64:353–6. https://doi.org/10. 1055/s-2006-957450.
- Sánchez-Trujillo LA, Mendoza-Monroy JL, Rocha-González HI, Quiñonez-Bastidas GN, Balderas-López JL, Navarrete A. Antiallodynic effect of piperine in neuropathic rats. Rev Bras Farmacogn. 2020;30:482–7.
- 23. Bang JS, Oh DH, Choi HM, Sur B-J, Lim S-J, Kim JY, et al. Anti-inflammatory and antiarthritic effects of piperine in human interleukin  $1\beta$ -stimulated fibroblast-like synoviocytes and in rat arthritis models. Arthritis Res Ther. 2009;11:R49.
- Wojno M, Mandas A, Kwasek K, Dabrowski K. The effect of dietary supplements of black pepper piper nigrum and turmeric curcuma longa extracts on dietary amino acid utilization and growth performance in common carp. N Am J Aquac. 2021;83:155–64.
- Queiroz CMA, de Almeida FG, Terhaag MM, Oliveira AG, Rocha TS, Spinosa WA. Curcuma longa L- and *Piper nigrum*-based hydrolysate, with high dextrose content, shows antioxidant and antimicrobial properties. LWT. 2018;96:386–94.
- Sehgal A, Kumar M, Jain M, Dhawan DK. Synergistic effects of piperine and curcumin in modulating benzo(a)pyrene induced redox imbalance in mice lungs. Toxicol Mech Methods. 2012;22:74–80.
- Banji D, Banji OJF, Dasaroju S, Annamalai AR. Piperine and curcumin exhibit synergism in attenuating D-galactose induced senescence in rats. Eur J Pharmacol Netherlands. 2013;703:91–9.
- Patial V, Sharma S, Pratap K, Singh D, Padwad YS. Synergistic effect of curcumin and piperine in suppression of DENA-induced hepatocellular carcinoma in rats. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol. 2015;40:445–52.
- Guimaraes-Stabili MR, de Aquino SG, de Almeida CF, Tasso CO, Rocha FRG, de Medeiros MC, et al. Systemic administration of curcumin or piperine enhances the periodontal repair: a preliminary study in rats. Clin Oral Investig Germany. 2019;23:3297–306.
- Arcaro CA, Gutierres VO, Assis RP, Moreira TF, Costa PI, Baviera AM, et al. Piperine, a natural bioenhancer, nullifies the antidiabetic and antioxidant activities of curcumin in streptozotocin-diabetic rats. PLoS One. 2014;9:e113993.

- Chou TC. Theoretical basis, experimental design, and computerized simulation of synergism and antagonism in drug combination studies. Pharmacol Rev. 2006;58:621–81.
- Bukhari IA, Pivac N, Alhumayyd MS, Mahesar AL, Gilani AH. The analgesic and anticonvulsant effects of piperine in mice. J Physiol Pharmacol an Off J Polish Physiol Soc. 2013;64:789–94.
- Luvisetto S, Marinelli S, Lucchetti F, Marchi F, Cobianchi S, Rossetto O, et al. Botulinum neurotoxins and formalin-induced pain: central vs peripheral effects in mice. Brain Res. 2006;1082:124–31.
- Hasriadi, Wasana PWD, Vajragupta O, Rojsitthisak P, Towiwat P. Automated home-cage for the evaluation of innate non-reflexive pain behaviors in a mouse model of inflammatory pain. Sci Rep. 2021;11:12240. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-021-91444-4.
- 35. Tallarida RJ. The interaction index: a measure of drug synergism. Pain Elsevier. 2002;98:163–8.
- Tallarida RJ, Porreca F, Cowan A. Statistical analysis of drug-drug and sitesite interactions with isobolograms. Life Sci. 1989;45:947–61.
- Santos JMM, Tatsuo MAKF, Turchetti-Maia RMM, Lisboa MCG, De Francischi JN. Leukocyte recruitment to peritoneal cavity of rats following formalin injection: role of tachykinin receptors. J Pharmacol Sci. 2004;94:384–92.
- Chen O, Donnelly CR, Ji RR. Regulation of pain by neuro-immune interactions between macrophages and nociceptor sensory neurons. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2020;6:17–25.
- Fu KY, Light AR, Maixner W. Relationship between nociceptor activity, peripheral edema, spinal microglial activation and long-term hyperalgesia induced by formalin. Neuroscience Pergamon. 2000;101:1127–35.
- Li K, Lin T, Cao Y, Light AR, Fu KY. Peripheral formalin injury induces 2 stages of microglial activation in the spinal cord. J Pain. 2010;11:1056–65. https://doi.org/10.1101/2019.12.16.878728v1.
- Fu KY, Light AR, Maixner W. Long-lasting inflammation and long-term hyperalgesia after subcutaneous formalin injection into the rat hindpaw. J Pain Churchill Livingstone. 2001;2:2–11.
- 42. Singh P, Kongara K, Harding D, Ward N, Dukkipati VSR, Johnson C, et al. Comparison of electroencephalographic changes in response to acute electrical and thermal stimuli with the tail flick and hot plate test in rats administered with opiorphin. BMC Neurol. 2018;18:1–10. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12883-018-1047-y.
- Backhouse N, Delporte C, Apablaza C, Farías M, Goïty L, Arrau S, et al. Antinociceptive activity of *Buddleja globosa* (matico) in several models of pain. J Ethnopharmacol. 2008;119:160–5.
- Marics I, Malapert P, Reynders A, Gaillard S, Moqrich A. Acute heat-evoked temperature sensation is impaired but not abolished in mice lacking TRPV1 and TRPV3 channels. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e99828. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0099828.
- Karashima Y, Talavera K, Everaerts W, Janssens A, Kwan KY, Vennekens R, et al. TRPA1 acts as a cold sensor in vitro and in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:1273–8. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808487106.
- Dhaka A, Murray AN, Mathur J, Earley TJ, Petrus MJ, Patapoutian A. TRPM8 is required for cold sensation in mice. Neuron Cell Press. 2007;54:371–8.
- 47. Di Pierro F, Settembre R. Safety and efficacy of an add-on therapy with curcumin phytosome and piperine and/or lipoic acid in subjects with a diagnosis of peripheral neuropathy treated with dexibuprofen. J Pain Res. 2013;6:497.
- Khajuria A, Thusu N, Zutshi U. Piperine modulates permeability characteristics of intestine by inducing alterations in membrane dynamics: influence on brush border membrane fluidity, ultrastructure and enzyme kinetics. Phytomedicine Germany. 2002;9:224–31.
- Atal CK, Dubey RK, Singh J. Biochemical basis of enhanced drug bioavailability by piperine: evidence that piperine is a potent inhibitor of drug metabolism. J Pharmacol Exp Ther United States. 1985;232:258–62.
- Burgos-Morón E, Calderón-Montaño JM, Salvador J, Robles A, López-Lázaro M. The dark side of curcumin. Int J Cancer. 2010. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/ijc.24967.
- Sharma RA, Euden SA, Platton SL, Cooke DN, Shafayat A, Hewitt HR, et al. Phase I clinical trial of oral curcumin: biomarkers of systemic activity and compliance. Clin Cancer Res. 2004;10:6847–54.

- Piyachaturawat P, Glinsukon T, Toskulkao C. Acute and subacute toxicity of piperine in mice, rats and hamsters. Toxicol Lett Netherlands. 1983;16:351–9.
- Mead AN, Amouzadeh HR, Chapman K, Ewart L, Giarola A, Jackson SJ, et al. Assessing the predictive value of the rodent neurofunctional assessment for commonly reported adverse events in phase I clinical trials. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2016;80:348–57.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

